

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/663,159	MUKKAMALA, RAVINDRANATH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

All Participants:

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(2) Kenneth Crimaldi, Ph.D.

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 February 2006

Time: 2:20pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 5

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Applicant's representative for permission to: (1) change the language in the proviso in claims 1 and 5; and (2) add an "and" between R(7) and R(9) in claim 5.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)